

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

* * *

DANIELA RIVAS,

Case No. 2:19-cv-01637-KJD-DJA

Plaintiff,

ORDER

V.

CAESARS ENTERPRISE SERVICES, LLC,
et al.,

Defendants.

This case was referred to the undersigned to conduct a settlement conference, which settlement conference is set for June 13, 2022. (ECF No. 83). Defendant Desert Palace moves to vacate the settlement conference, arguing that the parties have already tried to settle, were unsuccessful, and have positions too conflicting to resolve. (ECF No. 85). Plaintiff Daniela Iivas argues in response that she wishes to move forward with settlement, believing that it will be productive, and argues that Defendant’s statement that the parties’ positions are “too divergent” is accurate. (ECF No. 86). Defendant replies that nothing has changed since the parties most recent settlement efforts and keeping the conference date will waste time. (ECF No. 87). Because the parties’ interpretations of their ability to settle are not reconcilable through their briefs, the Court denies the motion to vacate. At the upcoming settlement conference, the parties should be prepared to discuss why they believe settlement is possible or not.

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the motion to vacate (ECF No. 85) is **denied**. The
2 settlement conference scheduled for June 13, 2022 will go forward as provided in the Court's
3 order scheduling the settlement conference. (ECF No. 84).

4
5
6 DATED: May 18, 2022

7
8 
9 DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28